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#### Abstract

The syntheses, crystal structures, and binding properties of cavitands $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are reported. Macrocycle $\mathbf{1}$ is composed of eight anisyl units bonded to one another in their 2,6-positions $\left(\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{8}\right)$ and was prepared in $1.4 \%$ yield by $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ oxidation of the dilithium organometallic formed by treatment of $3,3^{\prime}$-dibromo- $2,2^{\prime}$-dimethoxy-1, $1^{\prime}$-biphenyl (4) with $n$ - BuLi . Macrocycle 2 is composed of eight 4-methylanisyl units bonded to one another in their 2,6-positions $\left(\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)_{8}\right)$. It was prepared by $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ oxidation of the dilithium organometallic formed by treatment of $3,3^{\prime \prime \prime}$-dibromo- $2,2^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime \prime}$ -tetramethoxy- $5,5^{\prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime \prime}$-tetramethylquaterphenyl (9) with sec-butyllithium. The yield was maximal at $4.7 \%$ when the ring closure was carried out in the presence of CsBr . The crystal structures of 1, 2, and 2. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ reveal that each of the eight oxygens is anti to its two neighboring oxygens to provide an (up-down) $)_{4}$ conformational arrangement. In 1, four of the methyls attached to the oxygens are turned inward (those on rings A, B, E, and F), and four are turned outward (those on rings C, D, G, and H). The resulting cavity is small and egg-shaped, with one half lined with methyls and the other half with oxygen's unshared electron pairs. In 2, two of the methyls attached to oxygens are turned inward (those on rings A and E), and six are turned outward (those on rings B, C, D, F, G, and H). Additionally, a para methyl carbon of an adjacent host is located 3.3-3.5 $\AA$ from the oxygens of rings B, D, F, and H. The cavity of $\mathbf{2}$ is larger than that of $\mathbf{1}$ and is shaped like a tangerine, with one quarter lined with methyls and three quarters lined with oxygen's unshared electron pairs. In $2 \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$, three of the methyls attached to oxygens are turned inward (those on rings A, E, and H) and the other five are turned outward. The $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ molecule is external to the cavity and overlies ring E, and its hydroxyl hydrogen bonds the oxygen of ring E. The crystal structure of $2 \cdot \mathrm{CsClO}_{4}$ shows the $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$guest to be ligated by the eight oxygens located at the apices of a square antiprism. The squares are $2 \AA$ apart and have sides ranging from 4.3 to $4.7 \AA$. An oxygen from $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}{ }^{-}$completes the coordination about $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$. The free energies were determined at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ saturated with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ binding the picrate salts of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Rb}^{+}, \mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$, and $t-\mathrm{BuNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$. The $-\Delta G^{\circ}$ values are maximal for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ complexing $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}(11.8$ and $13.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, respectively) and minimal for 1 and 2 complexing $t-\mathrm{BuNH}_{3}{ }^{+}\left(3.2\right.$ and $4.0 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, respectively). The relationships between structure and binding are discussed in terms of the principle of preorganization.


Examination of CPK molecular models of compounds $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ indicates that their metacyclophane arrangement of the benzene rings provides an enforced cavity whose size and shape vary with the conformational organizations of the methoxyl groups. The


$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\underline{1}, & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{H} \\
\underline{2}, & \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}
\end{array}
$$

collective bulk of the methoxyl groups is accommodated without apparent strain only in conformations in which each of the eight oxygens is anti to its nearest two neighbors, to provide an enforced (up-down) ${ }_{4}$ arrangement of the eight oxygens. The methyl of each methoxyl group can either converge on or diverge from the cavity. If all methyl groups point inward, they contact one another to form a cylindrical cavity complementary to a model of diacetylene guest. With all methyl groups pointing outward, the cavity is lined with the sixteen electron pairs of the eight oxygens. At maximum aryl-aryl dihedral angle, the resulting cavity is complementary to chair cyclohexane, or a well-worn tennis ball. At minimum aryl-aryl dihedral angle, the cavity is complementary to a sphere slightly larger than the $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ion. The many different
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combinations of methyl groups pointing inward or outward provide a variety of differently shaped cavities whose surfaces are defined by combinations of oxygen's unshared electron pairs and the hydrogens of companion methoxyl groups. Thus $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ contain enforced cavities (cavitands) ${ }^{2,3}$ whose sizes, shapes, and electronic character are adaptable within limits to the demands of potential guests. This paper reports the syntheses of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, the crystal structures of $1,2,2 \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$, and $2 \cdot \mathrm{CsClO}_{4}$, and the binding properties of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$.
(2) Moran, J. R.; Karbach, S.; Cram, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 5826-5828.
(3) Cram, D. J. Science (Washington, D.C.) 1983, 219, 1177-1183.

Table I. Binding Free Energies ( $-\Delta G^{\circ}$ ) and Association Constants ( $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ ) of Hosts for Picrate Salt Guests at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ Saturated with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$

| guest | host |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1 |  | 2 |  | 10 |  |
|  | - $\Delta G^{\circ}, \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $K_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $-\Delta G^{\circ}, \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $K_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | - $\Delta G^{\circ}, \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ | $K_{\mathrm{a}}, \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ |
| $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$ | 6.9 | $1.13 \times 10^{5}$ | 8.3 | $1.20 \times 10^{6}$ | 5.4 | $9.01 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ | 8.6 | $1.90 \times 10^{6}$ | 10.1 | $2.49 \times 10^{7}$ | 6.1 | $2.93 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ | 7.6 | $3.68 \times 10^{5}$ | 8.9 | $3.30 \times 10^{6}$ | 5.4 | $9.01 \times 10^{3}$ |
| $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$ | 9.4 | $7.66 \times 10^{6}$ | 10.4 | $4.13 \times 10^{7}$ | 6.6 | $6.82 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ | 11.8 | $4.38 \times 10^{8}$ | 13.9 | $1.51 \times 10^{10}$ | 8.2 | $1.01 \times 10^{6}$ |
| $\mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$ | 8.5 | $1.69 \times 10^{6}$ | 9.1 | $4.62 \times 10^{6}$ | 6.3 | $4.11 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | 7.7 | $4.36 \times 10^{5}$ | 9.0 | $3.90 \times 10^{6}$ | 6.0 | $2.48 \times 10^{4}$ |
| $t$ - $\mathrm{BuNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$ | 3.2 | $2.21 \times 10^{2}$ | 4.0 | $8.50 \times 10^{2}$ | 3.4 | $3.09 \times 10^{2}$ |
| av | 8.0 |  | 9.2 |  | 5.9 |  |

Table II. Geometric Parameters in Crystal Structures of Cavitands 1, 2, and 2. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ and Caviplex 2. $\mathrm{CsClO}_{4}$

|  |  | 12 | 14 | 16 | 18 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ar-Ar dihedral angle, deg | average | 73.3 | 79 | 71 | 65 |
|  | range | 59-88 | 62-90 | 50-89 | 58.5-74 |
| tilt angle of Ar's from best plane, ${ }^{a}$ deg | average | 45 | 43 | 40 | 36 |
|  | range | 24-69 | 18-61 | 21-62 | 28-42 |
| $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ bond angle, deg | average | 116.7 | 113.9 | 113.9 | 113.0 |
|  | range | 113.1-125.0 | 112.0-116.3 | 111.6-117.7 | 110.2-115.4 |
| no. of $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's turned in |  | 4 | 2 | 3 | 0 |
| no. of O's bent in ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 1 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| O distances bent from Ar plane, $\AA$ |  | 0.13 (4 bent out) | 0.07 (2 bent out) | 0.17 (3 bent out) | none |
|  | $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \text { out (av) }$ | 0.06 ( 3 bent out) | 0.04 ( 3 bent out) | 0.10 ( 5 bent in) | 0.055 ( 3 bent in) |
|  |  | 0.04 (1 bent in) | 0.03 (3 bent in) | none bent out | 0.051 (5 bent out) |
| 8 O distances from mean plane, ${ }^{c} \AA$ | average | $1.21$ | $1.14$ | $1.14$ | $0.99$ |
|  | range | 0.98-1.54 | 0.95-1.30 | 0.82-1.28 | $0.94-1.03$ |
| O to opposite O distance, $\AA$ A | average | 6.83 | 6.58 | 6.66 | 6.19 |
|  | range | 6.46-7.24 | 6.43-6.74 | 6.45-7.24 | 6.05-6.25 |
| distance ( C to $\mathrm{C}, \AA$ ) in and opposite $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ 's | average | $4.32$ | $3.82$ | $3.65$ |  |
|  | range | 3.92-4.73 | 3.82 | 3.65 |  |
| $10 \mathrm{Cs}-\mathrm{O}$ distances, $\AA$ | average range |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 3.24 \\ & 3.18-3.28 \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{a}$ Defined by carbons of Ar-Ar linkages. ${ }^{b}$ Bent inward from plane of attached aryl. ${ }^{c}$ Mean plane of all eight oxygens.

## Results

Syntheses (Scheme I). Dimetalation of $3^{4}$ with BuLi in the presence of tetramethylethylenediamine (TMEDA) and bromination of the organometallic produced gave dibromide 4 (24\%). This material was metalated with sec- BuLi , and the organometallic generated was oxidized with $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ in refluxing benzene under high dilution conditions to produce cavitand $\mathbf{1}(1.4 \%)$. The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of cavitand 1 indicated the presence of only one kind of $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ unit at room temperature in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$.

Phenol $5^{5}$ was brominated to give 6 ( $93 \%$ ), methylation of which produced 7 (97\%). This monobromide was metalated with BuLi , and the organometallic obtained was oxidized with $\mathrm{Fe}\left(\mathrm{acac}_{3}\right)_{3}$ to provide quateraryl compound 8 (70\%). Lithiation of 8 with BuLi-TMEDA and bromination of the resulting bisorganometallic gave the quateraryl dibromide, 9 (78\%). This compound was doubly metalated with sec-BuLi, and the resulting material was oxidized with $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ in refluxing benzene under high dilution conditions to produce cavitand 2 ( $2.9 \%$ ). When carried out under the same conditions except that the reaction mixture (THFbenzene) was saturated with dry CsBr , the yield increased to $4.7 \%$. This experiment was inspired by the fact that Kellogg ${ }^{6}$ had observed many macroring closures to go with much higher yields in the presence of $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$, and that 2 binds $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$better than the other ions (see later section). The ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR spectra of cavitand $\mathbf{2}$ in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at room temperature indicated the presence of only one kind of $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ unit.

Binding Free Energies. The binding free energies ( $-\Delta G^{\circ}$ values) and $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values (association constants) were determined for 1 and

[^1]2 complexing at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ the picrate salts of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}, \mathrm{Na}^{+}, \mathrm{K}^{+}, \mathrm{Rb}^{+}$, $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, \mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}, \mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$, and $t$ - $\mathrm{BuNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ saturated with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. These salts dissolved in $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were extracted into $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ in the absence and presence of the cavitands, utilizing the techniques already described. ${ }^{7}$ Each $-\Delta G^{\circ}$ value represents the average of at least two determinations that differed by less than $0.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ from one another. The values for 1 were determined by two different experimentalists working with different preparations of materials. Suitable ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR experiments demonstrated that only $1: 1$ complexes were formed between $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ as hosts and $\mathrm{CsClO}_{4}$ as guest. Extractions, complexations, and equilibrations were instantaneous on the human time scale. Decomplexations were slow on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR time scale at room temperature, so complexed and uncomplexed host could be observed simultaneously. Table I lists the $-\Delta G^{\circ}$ and $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values. Those for related chiral host $10^{8}$ are included for comparison purposes.
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Crystal Structures. Cavitands 1, 2, and 2. $\mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ and caviplex 2. $\mathrm{CsClO}_{4}$ formed single crystals suitable for X-ray analysis to provide $R$ values of 0.063 ( 296 K ), 0.09 ( 113 K ), 0.096 ( 115 K ), and 0.10 ( 115 K ), respectively. Table II lists relevant bond angles and distances. Chart I compares drawings based on CPK model examination of $\mathbf{1 , 2}, 2 \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$, and $\mathbf{2} \cdot \mathrm{Cs}^{+}(\mathbf{1 1}, \mathbf{1 3}, \mathbf{1 5}$, and 17) with stereoviews based on crystal structures $(12,14,16$, and 18 , respectively).

## Discussion

Effects of Added Salts on Ring-Closure Yields. In attempts to increase the yields in the oxidative coupling of dibromide 9 to give 2, the oxidizing medium (THF-benzene) was saturated with added dry salts of the alkali metals. The yields were as follows: LiBr , $2.9 \%$; $\mathrm{NaBr}, 0 \% ; \mathrm{KBr}, 3.3 \% ; \mathrm{RbBr}, 4.5 \%$; $\mathrm{CsBr}, 4.7 \%$. With the exception of the experiment with NaBr , the trend in yield correlates with the ionic diameters of the cations, which are as follows: ${ }^{10} \mathrm{Li}^{+}, 1.20 \AA ; \mathrm{Na}^{+}, 1.90 \AA ; \mathrm{K}^{+}, 2.66 \AA ; \mathrm{Rb}^{+}, 2.96 \AA$; $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}, 3.38 \AA$. These experiments suggest that some sort of templating effect is operative, possibly for both polymer and macrocycle formation. The results provide yet another example of the presence of $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ion enhancing yield in formation of macrocyclic systems. ${ }^{6}$

Structures of Hosts. Comparisons of the conformations of rigid spherand $19^{14}$ and cavitands 1,2 , and $20^{12}$ are instructive. The crystal structures of spherand 19 and spheraplexes $19 \cdot \mathrm{Li}^{+}$and

[^3]19. $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$are conformationally very similar to one another. ${ }^{11}$ The
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geometric requirements for attaching six methoxyl groups to the 18 -membered carbocyclic ring in 19 ensures that the oxygens are octahedrally arranged about an empty cavity and that all six methyl groups point outward away from the cavity. The crystal structure of host 20, in which six fluorines are substituted for the six methoxyls for 19 , provides a similar octahedral arrangement of heteroatoms. Thus the bulk of the methyl groups turned outward is not needed to organize the arrangement of oxygens in 16. In contrast, a comparison of the conformations of cavitands $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ with that of $\mathbf{2 1}$ indicates that the bulk of the methyl groups of the methoxyls is needed to provide the square, antiprismal arrangement of oxygens attached to the 24 -membered carbocycle of $\mathbf{1}$ and 2. Thus the octafluoro analogue 21 exists in the conformation drawn in 21a ( $D_{2 d}$ symmetry, ${ }^{19} \mathrm{~F}$ NMR) rather than in the square, antiprismal conformation 21b ( $D_{4 d}$ symmetry). In contrast, 1 and 2 have NMR spectra consistent with having the square-prismal arrangement of oxygens found in drawings 11, 13, 15, and 17 and in crystal structures 12, 14, 16, and 18. Molecular models (CPK) of $\mathbf{1}$ or 2 in the conformation resembling 21a, although assemblable, are compressed and strained due to the spatial requirements of the eight methyls attached to the oxygens. The preference for conformation 21a over 21b possibly reflects the lower cavity volume and more favorable C-F dipole-dipole interactions in the former conformation.
In CPK models of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$, rotations about the oxygen-aryl bonds appear to have activation energy barriers low enough for equilibrations of conformers to occur very rapidly on the ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR time scale at attainable temperatures. In models, conformer 11, with four opposing methyl groups turned inward, conformer 13, with two opposing methyl groups turned inward, and conformer 15, with three opposing methyl groups turned inward, all appear to be as free of strain and compression as the conformer drawn for $\mathbf{1}$ and 2, in which all methyls attached to oxygen are turned outward. Other conformers with more than four inward-turned methyls appear to be substantially more strained than 11, 13, or 15. Conformers 11, 13, and 15 have much smaller cavities than conformers 1 and 2. The cavity in crystal structure 12 is oblong and complementary in CPK models to models of triatomic molecules such as $\mathrm{HC} \equiv \mathrm{N}$ or $\mathrm{HONH}_{2}$, being about $4 \AA$ long, about $2.5 \AA$ wide, and about $4 \AA$ deep. The cavity in the crystal structure 14 in CPK models is large enough to embrace single molecules of simple solvents such as $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CN}, \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, or $\mathrm{CH}_{3}$ $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ without strain. The cavity of 16 in models is between those of $\mathbf{1 2}$ and $\mathbf{1 4}$ in size. Although the cavity of $\mathbf{1 5}$ is nearly large enough to host the molecule of $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{OH}$ found in its crystal structure, this potential guest is external to the cavity. It overlies ring $E$ in $\mathbf{1 5}$, and its hydroxyl hydrogen bonds the oxygen
of ring $E$, whose unshared electron pairs are turned outward. The fact that differing numbers of methyl groups are turned inward in 12 (4), 14 (2), 16 (3), and 18 (0) indicates that the macroring system imposes little intrinsic driving force for dominance of a single conformation.

The geometric parameters of Table II for crystal structures 12, 14, 16, and 18 provide further interesting comparisons. The benzene rings in these macrorings are planar, the maximum deviation in each of the four structures of a carbon from its $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ plane being $0.02 \AA$. In $19,19 \cdot \mathrm{Li}^{+}$, and $19 \cdot \mathrm{Na}^{+}$the benzene rings are not planar. The average aryl-aryl dihedral angles in free hosts 12,14 , and 16 are respectively $73.3^{\circ}, 79^{\circ}$, and $71^{\circ}$, which decreases to $65^{\circ}$ in caviplex 18. The average angles of tilt of the aryl groups from the carbocycles best plane (defined by the carbons of the Ar-Ar linkages) are respectively $45^{\circ}, 43^{\circ}$, and $40^{\circ}$ in 12 , 14 , and 16, decreasing to $36^{\circ}$ in caviplex 18. Thus 12, 14, and 16 resemble one another in dispositions of their aryl groups in spite of differences in the partial occupancy of their cavities. In 12, the four inward-turned methyl groups provide both a floor and a roof for a cavity of reduced dimensions, whereas in 14 , the two inward-turned methyl groups provide a roof to a cavity which is somewhat inefficiently filled by the intermolecular packing of a $p-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{2}$ of an adjacent molecule into it from the floor side (not shown in 14). Comparisons of the distance parameters for 12, 14, and 16 also indicate that aside from the differences in placement of the methyls of the methoxyls, the general locations of the aryls and oxygens resemble one another. For example, the average distances of the eight oxygens from their mean plane are $1.21 \AA$ in $12,1.14 \AA$ in 14 , and $1.14 \AA$ in 16 , but the value decreases to $0.99 \AA$ in 18 . The average distances between the inward-turned and opposite methyl carbons are $4.32 \AA$ in $12,3.82$ $\AA$ in 14 , and $3.65 \AA$ in 16 . The average $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{O}$ distance in 12 is $6.83 \AA$, in 14 is $6.58 \AA$, in 16 is $6.66 \AA$, but in 18 it decreases to $6.19 \AA$.

The oxygens are bent out of the planes of their attached aryl groups in directions which only partially correlate with the locations of their attached methyl groups. Thus 12 has four in-ward-turned methyls whose oxygens are bent outward an average of $0.13 \AA, 14$ has two inward-turned methyls whose oxygens are bent outward an average of $0.07 \AA$, and 16 has three inward-turned methyls whose oxygens are bent outward an average of $0.17 \AA$. However, in 12, 14, 16, and 18, the oxygens bound to outwardturned methyls appear capable of bending either inward or outward by average values of between 0.03 and $0.10 \AA$ (see Table II).

Most of the above comparisons indicate that complexation of 1 or 2 with $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$causes some host reorganization. Thus the in-ward-turned methyl groups are driven outward, and the square antiprism defined by the oxygens in 1 and 2 shrinks to better complement the diameter of the $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$guest. In 18 , each $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$is ligated by the eight oxygens of the macrocycle and by two additional oxygens provided by two $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$groups. These $\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}$ groups are not shown in 18. One is located directly above and the other directly below the $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$in 18 . These $\mathrm{Cs}^{+} \ldots \mathrm{O}$ distances are 3.20 and $3.32 \AA$, comparable to the average $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}-\mathrm{O}$ distance of $3.25 \AA$ (range $3.18-3.27 \AA$ ) for the other eight ligands. The $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ion is well centered, being only $0.02 \AA$ from the mean plane of the eight oxygens of the host. The overall average $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$to oxygen distance for all ten oxygens is $3.25 \AA$. If the standard covalently bound oxygen diameter of $2.80 \AA$ is assumed, ${ }^{10}$ the diameter of $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$in 18 becomes $3.70 \AA, 0.30 \AA$ in excess of the more usual diameter of $3.40 \AA \AA^{10}$ Surprisingly, only three of the eight oxygens are bent outward from the planes of their attached aryls (average of $0.055 \AA$ ), the other five being bent inward (average of 0.51 $\AA$ ). The eight oxygens in 18 are located at the apices of a square antiprism. The squares are in planes separated by $2 \AA$ and have sides ranging from 4.3 to $4.7 \AA$.

These four crystal structures taken in sum indicate that although 1 and 2 are largely preorganized for binding $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$with respect to the gross locations of its oxygens, the methyl groups are not preorganized, and the oxygens are capable of hydrogen bonding solvent (e.g., as in $2 \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ ). Thus these hosts are neither as
ideally nor as completely preorganized as is spherand 19 for binding $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$or $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$.

Correlation of Structure with Binding. The patterns of $-\Delta G^{\circ}$ values for $\mathbf{1 , 2}$, and $\mathbf{1 0}$ binding the picrate salts of the alkali metal ions in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ (saturated with $\mathrm{D}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ ) each provide the order $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$ $>\mathrm{Rb}^{+}>\mathrm{Na}^{+}>\mathrm{K}^{+}>\mathrm{Li}^{+}$(Table I). As expected, $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$is the most complementary to the cavities of the hosts, being bound by $2.4,3.5$, and $1.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$ more strongly than $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$by 1,2 , and 10, respectively. The respective $K_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{Cs}} / K_{\mathrm{a}}^{\mathrm{Rb}}$ ratios for 1, $\mathbf{2}$, and 10 are 57,366 , and 14. Although the standard ionic diameter of $\mathrm{K}^{+}$ ( $2.66 \AA$ ) is substantially higher than that of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}(1.90 \AA)$, the latter is better bound than the former by 1,2 , and 10 by $1.0,1.2$, and $0.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$, respectively. Furthermore, $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$is more strongly bound than $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$by $\mathbf{1 , 2}$, and 10 , the respective $\Delta\left(-\Delta G^{\circ}\right)$ values being $1.7,1.8$, and $0.7 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$.

Molecular model examination indicates that the cavities of 1 and 2 with all methyls pointing outward are complementary to up to 4 mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ hydrogen bonded to alternate anisyl units and (or) to each other. Spheres the diameter of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}\left(1.20 \AA\right.$ ) or $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$ ( $1.90 \AA$ ) can nest in the cavity by displacing 1 mol of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and can be ligated without strain by the oxygens of three methoxyl oxygens. A sphere the diameter of $\mathrm{Na}^{+}$can additionally be ligated by two of (up to) three nesting waters, whereas that of $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$can be ligated by only one of these. A sphere the diameter of $\mathrm{K}^{+}(2.66$ $\AA$ ) to nest in the cavity must displace two nesting waters. It can be ligated without strain by three of the methoxy oxygens and the oxygens of up to two remaining waters. Thus in effect, the ions compete with water for the cavity, which undoubtedly scavenges water from the medium (chloroform saturated with water). Sodium appears to get into this anisole-water structure with lower energy than either $\mathrm{Li}^{+}$or $\mathrm{K}^{+}$.
In models of 1 and $2, \mathrm{NH}_{4}^{+}$can nest in the cavity along with two waters, $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$can nest only with one, and $t$ - $\mathrm{BuNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$can only form a perching complex on a cavity containing two water molecules. The $-\Delta\left(\Delta G^{\circ}\right)$ values for 1,2 , and 10 favor $\mathrm{NH}_{4}{ }^{+}$over $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$by only $0.8,0.1$, and $0.3 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}{ }^{-1}$, respectively, whereas they favor $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$over $t-\mathrm{BuNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$respectively by 4.2 , 5.0 , and $2.6 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Thus 2 complexes $\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{NH}_{3}{ }^{+}$by a factor of 27500 more strongly than $t$ - $\mathrm{BuNH}_{3}{ }^{+}$(ratio of $K_{\mathrm{a}}$ values). This is the largest structural recognition factor we have observed involving these two alkylammonium ions. ${ }^{9}$

Comparisons of the general binding power of the three hosts show that $2>1>10$, the respective $-\Delta G^{\circ}{ }_{a v}$ values being 9.2 , 8.0 , and $5.9 \mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. This correlates roughly with the Hammett linear free energy $\sigma$ values of -0.17 for the $p$-methyl group, 0 for $p$-hydrogen, and +0.17 for a $3,4-(\mathrm{CH})_{4}$ (benzo) group. ${ }^{13}$ Comparison of the $-\Delta G^{\circ}$ values for $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ binding $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}(11.8$ vs 13.9 $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$, respectively) indicates that substitution of each para hydrogen by a methyl group increases the binding by about 0.25 $\mathrm{kcal} \mathrm{mol}^{-1}$. Similar inductive effects have been observed to influence the binding of aryldiazonium guests by 18 -crown- $6^{14}$ and alkali metal cations by the benzocorands. ${ }^{15}$
When arranged according to their binding power toward their most complementary anions, the following order is observed: spherands $>$ cryptaspherands $>$ cryptands $>$ hemispherands $>$ corands $>$ podands. ${ }^{9}$ This order correlates with the degree of preorganization of the systems for binding guests and for inhibiting the binding of solvent. ${ }^{9}$ Cavitands $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are comparable to the hemispherands ${ }^{16}$ in their binding power. Although the eight oxygens of $\mathbf{1}$ and $\mathbf{2}$ are better preorganized for binding than the oxygens of the hemispherands, they are less preorganized to inhibit solvation by water than are the hemispherands. Both of these aspects of preorganization are important to binding.
(13) Hine, J. S. Structural Effects on Equilibria in Organic Chemistry; Wiley-Interscience: New York, 1975; p 66.
(14) Izatt, R. M.; Lamb, J. D.; Swain, C. S.; Christensen, J. J.; Haymore, B. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3032-3034.
(15) Ungaro, R.; El Haj, B.; Smid, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 5198-5202.
(16) Artz, S. P.; Cram, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1984, 106, 2160-2171.

## Experimental Section

General. Tetrahydrofuran (THF) and $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ were distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl prior to use. Dichloromethane was distilled twice from $\mathrm{CaH}_{2}$. Benzene was distilled from $\mathrm{LiAlH}_{4}$. Other solvents were dried over $3-\AA$ molecular sieves. Ferric acetylacetonate was dried at $100^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum prior to use. Column chromatography was performed on silica gel 60 (E. Merck, particle size $0.063-0.200 \mathrm{~nm}$, 70-230 mesh ASTM). Thin-layer chromatography was performed on silica gel precoated plastic sheets (E. Merck, thickness 0.2 mm ). Preparative thin-layer chromatography was conducted on $2-\mathrm{mm}$ silica gel 60 plates ( E . Merck). Gel permeation chromatography was performed on a $20 \mathrm{ft} \times 0.375 \mathrm{in}$. (o.d.) column that was packed with Styragel ( 200 g , Waters Associates, $100 \AA$ ) with $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ as the mobile phase and flow rates of $4 \mathrm{~mL} \mathrm{~min}^{-1}$. Analytical high-pressure liquid chromatography was conducted on an Altex 110 A chromatograph with a Partasil PXS 10 column. Melting points were measured on either a Mel-Temp or Thomas-Hoover melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Proton NMR spectra were observed on a Bruker WP-200 spectrometer ( 200 MHz ) in $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ unless otherwise stated. All chemical shifts are reported in parts per million, using TMS as a reference unless otherwise stated. Carbon-13 NMR spectra were taken at 50.29 MHz on the same spectrometer. Mass spectra were taken on an AE1 Model MS 9 spectrometer at 70 eV . FAB mass spectra were obtained on an AEI MS 902 spectrometer with $6-\mathrm{kV}$ atomic beam energy.

3,3'-Dibromo-2,2'dimethoxy-1,1'-biphenyl (4). To 30.5 mL (202 mmol) of TMEDA in 550 mL of dry ether at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 209 mmol of $n$-BuLi in hexane. The mixture was stirred for 10 min , and 19.06 ( 89 mmol ) of $2,2^{\prime}$-dimethoxy- $1,1^{\prime}$-biphenyl (3) ${ }^{4}$ was added. The reaction was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 2.5 h and then cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. To this mixture was added a solution of 30 mL ( 586 mmol ) of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$-dried bromine in 35 mL of dry pentane. The reaction was maintained at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 5 min , allowed to warm slowly to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and quenched with 500 mL of aqueous $\mathrm{Na}_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{3}$ solution (saturated). The mixture was partitioned between 500 mL of water and 1500 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$. The organic layer was washed with 500 mL of water, dried over $\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}$, and concentrated under vacuum. The dark residue was flash chromatographed ( $\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 25 \times 8.5 \mathrm{~cm}$, cyclohexane $\rightarrow 5 \%$ benzene/cyclohexane) to give 4 as a viscous, yellow oil. Crystallization of this material from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ heptane provided pure product as large, colorless crystals ( $7.90 \mathrm{~g}, 24 \%$ ): mp $84.5-86.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C} ;{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 3.55\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.03\left(\mathrm{t}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}_{5}, J=7.8 \mathrm{~Hz}\right), 7.28$ (d of d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}_{6}, J_{0}=7.6 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{m}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}$ ), $7.58\left(\mathrm{~d}\right.$ of d, $1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}_{4}$, $\left.J_{0}=7.9 \mathrm{~Hz}, J_{\mathrm{m}}=1.6 \mathrm{~Hz}\right) ; \mathrm{MS} m / \mathrm{z} 374(56), 372(100), \mathrm{M}^{+}\left({ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right) 370$ (58). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{14} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{2}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 45.20 ; \mathrm{H}, 3.25 ; \mathrm{Br}, 42.95$. Found: C, 45.24; $\mathrm{H}, 3.28 ; \mathrm{Br}, 42.96$.

41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48-Octamethoxynonacyclo[35.3.1.1 $1^{2,6} \cdot 1^{7,11}$. $1^{12.16} .1^{17.21} .1^{22,26} .1^{27,31} .1^{32,36}$ ]octatetraconta-1(41),2,4,6(48), 7,9,11(47), 12,14,16(46), 17,19,21(45), 22,24,26(44), 27,29,31(43), 32,34,36(42), 37,39-tetracosaene (1). To a solution of $12.20 \mathrm{~g}(32.79 \mathrm{mmol})$ of dibromide 4 in 300 mL of THF at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ was added 104 mmol of sec-BuLi in cyclohexane. After stirring at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 15 min , the dilithiate mixture was transferred via cannula into a refluxing solution of $51.1 \mathrm{~g}(147 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ in 7.5 L of benzene. The reaction was refluxed for 4 h and then stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 1 day. To the reaction mixture were added with vigorous stirring 500 mL of aqueous HCl (concentrated) and 500 mL of water. Benzene was removed by distillation under vacuum, leaving a deep red aqueous layer. The aqueous mixture was filtered, and the collected solid was washed well with water and dried under vacuum. The reaction flask was washed with water and dried under vacuum. The solid which adhered to the walls was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, which was subsequently removed under reduced pressure, leaving a brownish foam. This foam was combined with the dried collected solid. The solid material was triturated with 150 mL of boiling ether and filtered. The mother liquor was concentrated under vacuum. The residue was chromatographed (medium pressure, silica gel, $45 \%-55 \%$ (by volume) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ ) to afford the crude cycle, which was then recrystallized from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ acetone to give pure 1 as fine, white needles ( $97 \mathrm{mg}, 0.114 \mathrm{mmol}, 1.4 \%$ ): $\mathrm{mp} 348-350^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 3.14$ $\left(\mathrm{s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.14-7.34(\mathrm{~m}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 59.80\left(\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right)$, 122.90 (aromatic CH , para to $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 130.29 (aromatic CH , meta to $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 132.94 (aromatic C , ortho to $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 156.20 (aromatic C , attached to $\mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ); MS $m / z 850$ (20), 849 (44), $\mathrm{M}^{+} 848$ (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{56} \mathrm{H}_{48} \mathrm{O}_{8}: \mathrm{C}, 79.23 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.70$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 79.16 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.65$,
$\mathbf{2}^{\prime}$-Methoxy-3-bromo-5,5'-dimethyl-[1,1'-biphenyI]-2-ol (6). To a solution of $1 \mathrm{~g}(4.4 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $5^{5}$ in 10 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ was added dropwise a solution of $0.74 \mathrm{~g}(4.5 \mathrm{mmol})$ of bromine in 5 mL of $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3}$ until the red color persisted after 0.5 h of stirring. Then 100 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added, and the solution was washed with three $100-\mathrm{mL}$ aliquots of aqueous $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$ solution and two $50-\mathrm{mL}$ aliquots of water. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and concentrated to dryness under reduced pressure. The solid was dissolved in hot hexane and cooled to $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$
to yield fluffy white crystals which were filtered, washed with hexane, and dried to give $1.2 \mathrm{~g}(89-96 \%) ; \mathrm{mp} 123-123.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 2.30$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}$ ), $2.336\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.850\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.340$ $(\mathrm{s}, 1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OH}), 6.47-7.35(\mathrm{~m}, 5 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \mathrm{MS} m / z 309$ (10), 308 (64), $\mathrm{M}^{+} 307$ (14), $\mathrm{M}^{+} 306$ (75). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{15} \mathrm{H}_{15} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Br}: \mathrm{C}, 58.65 ; \mathrm{H}$, 4.92. Found: C, 58.58; H, 4.79.

3-Bromo-2,2'-dimethoxy-5,5'-dimethyl-[1, $\mathbf{1}^{\prime}$-biphenyl] (7). To a stirred mixture of $5 \mathrm{~g}(16.2 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 6 and $3.4 \mathrm{~g}(24.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{K}_{2} \mathrm{CO}_{3}$ in 250 mL of acetone was added $2.3 \mathrm{~mL}(24.6 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\left(\mathrm{CH}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}_{4}$. After 24 $h$ of stirring at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}, 10 \mathrm{~mL}$ of concentrated $\mathrm{NH}_{4} \mathrm{OH}$ was added to the mixture, which was then stirred for an additional 2 h . The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, and the residue was partitioned between 200 mL of EtOAc and 100 mL of water. The organic layer was washed with 100 mL of water and 100 mL of brine and dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$, and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was submitted to flash chromatography on 100 g of silica gel with $1: 1$ (by volume) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} /$ cyclohexane as the mobile phase ( $R_{f} 0.46$ on TLC) to yield $5.03 \mathrm{~g}(97-98 \%)$ of 7 as a clear oil (dried at $80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under vacuum): ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 2.303\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.471\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.752$ (s, 3 $\mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}$ ), 6.85-7.36 (m,5H, ArH); MS ( $190^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) m/z 323 (11), 322 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 73\right), 320$ (13). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{16} \mathrm{H}_{17} \mathrm{O}_{2} \mathrm{Br}: \mathrm{C}, 59.83 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.33$. Found: C, 59.96; H, 5.39.

2,2', $2^{\prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime \prime}$-Tetramethoxy-5, $5^{\prime}, 5^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime \prime}$-tetramethyl- $\left[1,1^{\prime}: 3^{\prime}, 1^{\prime \prime}: 3^{\prime \prime}, 1^{\prime \prime \prime}\right]-$ quaterphenyl ${ }^{\prime}(8)$. To a very dry mixture stirred under argon at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ of dried $7(11.6 \mathrm{~g}, 36.1 \mathrm{mmol})$ in 90 mL of freshly distilled THF was added 18.9 mL of a 2.1 M BuLi solution in hexane ( 39.8 mmol ). The solution was stirred for 10 min at $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and was transferred via a double-ended hollow needle into a solution stirred under argon at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ composed of $14.7 \mathrm{~g}(42 \mathrm{mmol})$ of dried $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ in 150 mL of dried, freshly distilled THF. The resulting mixture was stirred 12 h during which a heavy precipitate formed. The THF was evaporated under reduced pressure and the residue was dissolved in 500 mL of EtOAc. This solution was washed with three $300-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of 2 N aqueous HCl (the last aqueous layer was colorless), 300 mL of water, and 300 mL of brine. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and evaporated to dryness under reduced pressure. The residue was crystallized from 50 mL of hexane at $-20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to yield after washing and drying 6.3 g (68-72\%) of white crystals: mp 128-1290; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 2.309(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.337\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.288\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.752(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 6.83-7.17(\mathrm{~m}, 10 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \mathrm{MS}\left(130^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z} 483$ (33), 482 $\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{34} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 79.64 ; \mathrm{H}, 7.10$. Found: C , 79.59; H, 7.04.
$3,3^{\prime \prime \prime}$-Dibromo-2, $2^{\prime}, 2^{\prime \prime}, 2^{\prime \prime \prime}$-tetramethoxy-5,5$, 5^{\prime \prime}, 5^{\prime \prime \prime}$-tetramethylquaterphenyl (9). To a solution stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under dry argon of 1.0 $\mathrm{g}(2.07 \mathrm{mmol})$ of dry 8 in 30 mL of freshly distilled (dry) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ was added $0.66 \mathrm{~g}(2.3 \mathrm{mmol})$ of dry TMEDA followed by 2.2 mL of 2.1 M BuLi in hexane ( 4.6 mmol ). The suspension was stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 3 h and cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, and a solution of $640 \mu \mathrm{~L}(41 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{P}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{5}$-dried $\mathrm{Br}_{2}$ in 10 mL of dry pentane was added dropwise. The solution was allowed to warm to $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, stirred for 12 h , and then poured slowly into 100 mL of water saturated with $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$. The mixture was shaken with 100 mL of EtOAc, and the organic phase was washed with two $100-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of water saturated with $\mathrm{NaHSO}_{3}$, two $50-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of water, and 50 mL of brine. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was flash chromatographed on 75 g of silica gel with $5: 95$ (by volume) $\mathrm{Et}_{2} \mathrm{O} /\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2}\right)_{6}$ as the mobile phase ( $R_{f}$ of $8,0.08 ; R_{f}$ of monobromo impurity, $0.21 ; R_{f}$ of 9 , 0.33 on TLC) to give after evaporation and drying $\left(80^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right.$ at high vacuum) of the appropriate fraction $1.04 \mathrm{~g}(78 \%)$ of 9 as a clear oil; ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR $\delta 2.315\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 2.351\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.294(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}$, $\left.\mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 3.551\left(\mathrm{~s}, 6 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{OCH}_{3}\right), 7.10-7.41(\mathrm{~m}, 8 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ; \operatorname{MS}\left(250^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ $m / z 643$ (14), $642\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 56\right), 641$ (33), 640 (100). Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{32} \mathrm{H}_{32} \mathrm{Br}_{2} \mathrm{O}_{4}: \mathrm{C}, 60.02 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.04$. Found: C, $59.95 ; \mathrm{H}, 5.20$.

41,42,43,44,45,46,47,48-Octamethoxy-4,9,14,19,24,29,34,39-octamethylnonacyclo[35.3.1.1 $\left.1^{2,6} \cdot 1^{7,11} \cdot 1^{12,16} \cdot 1^{17,21} \cdot 1^{22,26} \cdot 1^{27,31} \cdot 1^{32,36}\right]$ octatetra-conta-1(41), 2,4,6(48), 7,9,11(47), 12,14,16(46),17,19,21(45),22,24,26(44),27,29,31(43), 32,34,36(42), 37,39-tetracosaene (2). Method A. Dibromide 9 was dried as a viscous oil for 10 h at $85^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under high vacuum, cooled, and weighed. A stirring bar was added to a $0.846-\mathrm{g}$ $(1.32 \mathrm{mmol})$ sample of 9 , and the material was dried an additional 10 h. The flask was capped and flushed with argon. Freshly distilled THF $(25 \mathrm{~mL})$ was added, and the solution was cooled to $-78^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ under dry argon. A solution of 2.7 mL of sec-butyllithium ( 1.45 M in cyclohexane, 3.92 mmol ) was added, and the metalated mixture was stirred for 10 min . This solution was cannulated into a refluxing and very dry solution of $1.97 \mathrm{~g}(5.31 \mathrm{mmol})$ of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ stirred under dry argon, followed by a $10-\mathrm{mL}$ rinse of freshly distilled THF. The reaction was refluxed for 1 $h$ and stirred at $25^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 10 h . Benzene was removed under reduced pressure, and the solid was partitioned between 300 mL of EtOAc and 200 mL of 2 N hydrochloric acid. The organic layer was washed with
$200-\mathrm{mL}$ portions of 2 N hydrochloric acid until the aqueous layer was colorless. It was also washed once with 200 mL of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$ and once with 200 mL of brine. The organic layer was dried $\left(\mathrm{MgSO}_{4}\right)$ and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and gel chromatographed in two portions. Fractions from $180-245 \mathrm{~mL}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ contained product. Solvent was removed from these fractions under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by preparative $\mathrm{TLC}\left(\mathrm{SiO}_{2}, 1: 1 \mathrm{EtOAc} / \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$. The product ( 30.5 mg , $0.0318 \mathrm{mmol}, 4.8 \%$ ) was extracted from the silica with EtOAc and isolated by removal of solvent under reduced pressure. The white, crystalline solid $2(18.1 \mathrm{mg}, 0.0189 \mathrm{mmol}, 2.9 \%)$ was obtained by recrystallization from 2 mL of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 4 mL of $\mathrm{EtOH} ; \mathrm{mp}>360^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$; ${ }^{1}{ }^{H} \mathrm{NMr} \delta 2.353\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.104$ (s, $3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArOCH}_{3}$ ), 7.099 (s, $2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH}) ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR $\delta 20.767,59.773,130.578,131.987,132.637$, 153.873; MS $m / z 974$ (14), 962 (24), 961 (66), $960\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}, 100\right)$. Anal. Calcd for $\mathrm{C}_{64} \mathrm{H}_{64} \mathrm{O}_{8}$ : $\mathrm{C}, 79.97 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.71$. Found: $\mathrm{C}, 79.89 ; \mathrm{H}, 6.78$. Crystals of $\mathbf{2}$ suitable for crystal structure determination were grown by slow evaporation of a $1: 1$ (by volume) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH}$ solution. Crystals of $2 \cdot \mathrm{EtOH}$ suitable for crystal structure determination were grown by slow evaporation of a $1: 1$ (by volume) $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{EtOH}$ solution.

Method B. Method B was similar to method A except that a $\mathrm{KBr}-$ THF slurry was prepared and used as the reaction medium as follows. A $1.95-\mathrm{g}(50.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ sample of potassium metal was rinsed three times with dry toluene and then with freshly distilled dry THF, and the metal was added to 35 mL of freshly distilled THF, A $3.75-\mathrm{g}(20.0 \mathrm{mmol})$ sample of 1,2 -dibromoethane was added. The mixture was sonicated for 15 h under argon. The resulting slurry of KBr and THF was cannulated into a flask containing $0.726 \mathrm{~g}(1.13 \mathrm{mmol})$ of dry 9 . The reaction was conducted as outlined in method A. From $1.80 \mathrm{~g}(4.85 \mathrm{mmol})$ of Fe (acac) $)_{3}$ and $2.6 \mathrm{~mL}(1.45 \mathrm{M}$ in cyclohexane, 3.77 mmol ) of sec-butyllithium, $28.4 \mathrm{mg}(0.0296 \mathrm{mmol}, 5.2 \%)$ and $18.9 \mathrm{mg}(0.0197 \mathrm{mmol}, 3.0 \%)$ of 2 were obtained before and after final recrystallization, respectively. The product had physical properties identical with those obtained from 2 prepared by method A.

Method C. Method $C$ resembled method $A$ except that a $\mathrm{RbBr}-\mathrm{THF}$ slurry was used as the reaction medium. A $3.2-\mathrm{g}$ ( 19.3 mmol ) sample of RbBr was dried at $135^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ for 16 h under high vacuum. This material was transferred to the coupling apparatus as a slurry by repeated cannulation using freshly distilled benzene. A $1.78-\mathrm{g}$ ( 4.80 mmol ) portion of $\mathrm{Fe}(\mathrm{acac})_{3}$ was then added and the reaction was conducted as indicated in method A. A $0.768-\mathrm{g}$ ( 1.20 mmol ) sample of 9 gave $42.1 \mathrm{mg}(0.0438$ $\mathrm{mmol}, 7.3 \%)$ of 2 prior to recrystallization and $13.6 \mathrm{mg}(0.0142 \mathrm{mmol}$, $2.4 \%$ ) following recrystallization. The product had physical properties identical with those of the sample prepared by method A .
2. $\mathrm{CsClO}_{4}$. To a solution of $5.0 \mathrm{mg}(5.21 \mathrm{mmol})$ of 2 in 1.0 mL of $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ was added 0.021 mL of a 0.262 M solution of $\mathrm{CsClO}_{4}$ in (C$\left.\mathrm{D}_{3}\right)_{2} \mathrm{SO}$. The resulting solution gave the following ${ }^{\mathrm{t}} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectrum: $\delta 2.405\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArCH}_{3}\right), 3.206\left(\mathrm{~s}, 3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArOCH}_{3}\right), 7.092(\mathrm{~s}, 2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{ArH})$.

Crystals of 2. $\mathrm{CsClO}_{4}$ suitable for X-ray crystal structure determination were prepared by slow evaporation of $1: 1$ (by volume) $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{EtOH}$ solution of the complex.

Determination of Association Constants and Free Energies of Complexation. The technique has been described in detail. ${ }^{7.17}$ The initial host and guest concentrations were 0.015 M for $\mathbf{1}$ and 2 except when $\mathrm{Rb}^{+}$ and $\mathrm{Cs}^{+}$served as guests, in which case 0.001 M concentrations were used.

Crystal Structure Determinations. Compound 1 crystallizes in small colorless platelets from $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ in the triclinic system $P \overline{1}$. Unit cell dimensions are as follows: $a=7.924$ (2) $\AA, b=11.433$ (3) $\AA, c=25.541$ (6) $\AA, \alpha=79.12(2)^{\circ}, \beta=83.61$ (2) ${ }^{\circ}, \gamma=87.60(2)^{\circ}, V=2258 \AA^{3}$, $Z=2$. The crystal was examined on a Syntex Pī diffractometer, Mo K $\alpha$ radiation, at 296 K . The structure was determined by direct methods. Refinement of 757 parameters ( 2863 reflections with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ ) has an agreement value, $R$, currently at 0.063 . Compound $2 \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ crystallizes from $\mathrm{CHCl}_{3} / \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ as clear, colorless, multifaceted crystals in the monoclinic space group $P 2_{1} / n$. Unit cell dimensions are as follows: $a$ $=14.936$ (4) $\AA, b=15.088$ (4) $\AA, c=25.727$ (6) $\AA, \beta=92.44(2)^{\circ}$, $V=5792 \AA^{3}, Z=4$. The crystal was examined on a Syntex Pī diffractometer, $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, at 113 K . The structure was determined by direct methods. Refinement of 325 parameters ( 4857 reflections with $I>3 \sigma(I)$ ) has an agreement value, $R$, currently at 0.089 . The $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solvent is disordered. Compound $2 \cdot \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ crystallizes from ethanol as colorless prisms in the monoclinic system $P 2_{1} / n$. Unit cell dimensions are as follows: $a=14.117$ (6) $\AA, b=27.796$ (9) $\AA, c=14.845$ (4) $\AA$, $\beta=94.29(3)^{\circ}, V=5809 \AA^{3}, Z=4$. The crystal was examined on a Syntex P1̄ diffractometer, $\mathrm{Cu} \mathrm{K} \alpha$ radiation, at 115 K . The structure was determined by direct methods. Refinement of 673 parameters ( 7589 reflections with $I>1.5 \sigma(I)$ ) has an agreement value, $R$, currently at 0.096. The ethyl fragment of the ethanol solvent is disordered. Ethanol is hydrogen bonded to one of the O binding sites of the host. Compound 2. $\mathrm{CsClO}_{4} \cdot \mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ crystallizes from $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2} / \mathrm{C}_{2} \mathrm{H}_{5} \mathrm{OH}$ as small colorless prismatic needles in the orthorhombic system Pnam. Unit cell dimensions are as follows: $a=17.088$ (5) $\AA, b=19.691$ (6) $\AA, c=20.392$ (6) $\AA$, $V=6861 \AA^{3}, Z=4$ ( 8 half-molecules related by $m$ ). The crystal was examined on a Syntex Pī diffractometer, Mo K $\alpha$ radiation, at 115 K . The structure was determined by direct methods. Refinement of 258 parameters ( 3064 reflections with $I>2 \sigma(I)$ ) has an agreement value, $R$, currently at 0.10 . Full details will be published elsewhere.
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